

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,518	07/08/2003	Kiminori Tamai	240077US0DIV	7631	
22850	7590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			MAZUMDAR, SONYA		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		1734		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,518	TAMAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sonya Mazumdar	1734		

-		•	
	Sonya Mazumdar	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	): <u></u> .		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		PP	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce pecause:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Sarya !	Vazundos	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: English translation of foreign priority documents were received, therefore, the rejection of claims 9 through 12, 14 through 21, 23, 24, and 25 under 35 USC 102(e) as being unpatentable by lijima is withdrawn.

However, amendments made to claims 9 and 18 raise new grounds that require further searching and consideration.

CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734